

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING	G DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/974,907 10/12/2001		David W. Park	9-13528-168US	8975	
20988	7590	06/16/2005		EXAMINER	
OGILVY F	RENAULT L	LP	CURS, NATHAN M		
1981 MCGI	LL COLLEGI	E AVENUE			
SUITE 1600	)		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MONTREA	L, QC H3A2	2Y3	2633		
CANADA					
			DATE MAILED: 06/16/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0.3 February 2005.  2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) ↑ This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1.31 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1.71.0-13.17-22,26-28 and 31 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) 8.9.14-16.23-25.29 and 30 is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ↑ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ▼ The drawing(s) filed on 12 October 2001 is/are: a) ▼ accepted or b) ↑ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ↑ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ↑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ↑ All b) ↑ Some * ○ ↑ None of:  1. ○ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ○ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. ○ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ○ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. ○ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ○ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. ○ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received.			d						
Examiner   Nathan Curs   2633   2753		Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Nathana Curs	•	09/974,907	PARK ET AL.						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address → Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Editable of the many be available under the provision of 3° CFR 1.13(e). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed  Editable of the many be available under the provision of 3° CFR 1.13(e). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed  If the period for reply separified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply when the substance that the period for reply separified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply when the separation to become ABANDONEO (35 U.S.C. § 135).  If NO period for reply is specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply when the series of the communication of the period for reply will, by station, cause the application to become ABANDONEO (35 U.S.C. § 135).  If NO period for reply is specified above is less than thirty (30) days and the period for reply will, by station, cause the application to become ABANDONEO (35 U.S.C. § 135).  If NO period the service and summarized above the service and the communication.  Fall of the service and the	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of rise communication.  If the period for reply specified above, the maintain statutory parties with apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of rise communication.  If the period for reply specified above, the maintain statutory parties will supply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above, the maintain statutory parties will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above, the maintain statutory parties will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  A specified in a specified above, the maintain statutory parties will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, event similarly flat, or may reduce any seminary flat, and the communication of the specified similar statutory flat, and reply		Nathan Curs	2633						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Editations of the many be available under the provisions of 3 CFR 1.30(e). Inno event, however, may a raply be timely field  If the period for raply specified above is less that thirty (30) days, a reply whitin the statistory minimum of birty (30) stays will be considered timely.  If the period for raply specified above is less that thirty (30) days, a reply whitin the statistory minimum of birty (30) stays will be considered timely.  If the period for raply specified above is less that thirty (30) days, a reply whitin the statistory minimum of birty (30) stays, a value and period or raply system the series days and very give 3 (by MONTHS from the malling date of this communication.  Failure to reply whitin the set of extended previous from the mailing date of the communication or secure of the communication.  All (30) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Exparte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) f.31 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) f.7.10.13.17-22.26.28 and 31 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) g.9,14.16.23-25.29 and 30 is/are objected to.  Claim(s) g.9,14.16.23-25.29 and 30 is/are objected to.  Claim(s) f.7.10.13.17-22.26-28 and 31 is/are rejected.  The drawing(s) filed on 12 October 2001 is/are: allowed.  Claim(s) f.7.10.13.17-22.26-28 and 31 is/are rejected.  The drawing(s) filed on 12 October 2001 is/are: allowed.  Claim(s) f.7.10.13.17-22.26-28 and 32 is/are rejected to by the Examiner.  The drawing(s) filed on 12 October 2001 is/are: allowed.  The drawing(s) filed on 12 October 2001 is/are: allowed.  The drawing(s									
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be waited used the povious of 3 CFR 1.13(6). In no event, however, may a reply be timely fried atter 51x (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commonication. Provided the 15x (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commonication. Provided the 15x (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commonication. Provided the 15x (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commonication of the 15x (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Provided the 15x (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Provided by the Office light exhibit here in ordinary and the provided by the Office light exhibit here in the value of the mailing date of this communication. Provided by the Office light exhibit here is a statistic cause the application to become AAADONED (3s U.S. C. § 133). Any relay review by the Office light exhibit here is a statistic provided by the office light exhibit here is a statistic provided by the office light exhibit here is a statistic provided by the provided by the office light exhibit here is a statistic light of the communication. Provided by the International By International Provided By International By Int	• •	/ IS SET TO EVOIDE 2 MONTH	(S) EDOM						
1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <i>Q3 February 2005</i> .  2a) ∑ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) ☒ Claim(s) <i>1-31</i> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7.10-13.17-22.26-28 and 31 is/are rejected. 7) ☒ Claim(s) 8.9.14-16.23-25.29 and 30 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 12 October 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing shee((s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rul *17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of Dratsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/005)  4) ☐ Notice of Dratsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Notice of Dratsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Notice of Dratsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐	THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we railure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed  s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.  3 ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7.10-13.17-22,26-28 and 31 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 12 October 2001 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.21(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of Partsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Status								
3   Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)   Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5)   Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6  Claim(s) 1-7.10-13.17-22.26-28 and 31 is/are rejected. 7  Claim(s) 8.9.14-16.23-25.29 and 30 is/are objected to. 8  Claim(s) 3.9.14-16.23-25.29 and 30 is/are objected to. 8  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9  The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10  The drawing(s) filed on 12 October 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b)   objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11)   The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)   All   b)   Some * c)   None of: 1.   Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3.   Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s) 1)   Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   Paper Not(s)/Mail Date	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 Fe	ebruary 2005.							
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-7.10-13.17-22.26-28 and 31 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) 8.9.14-16.23-25.29 and 30 is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) 8.9.14-16.23-25.29 and 30 is/are objected to.  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on 12 October 2001 is/are: a)  accepted or b)  objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on 12 October 2001 is/are: a)  accepted or b)  objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b)  Some * c) None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.							
A) Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 8) Claim(s) is/are being is/are objected. 7) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 12 October 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-1449 or PTO/5B/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	nce except for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the merits is						
4)  Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-7.10-13.17-22.6-28 and 31 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) 8.9.14-16.23-25.29 and 30 is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on 12 October 2001 is/are: a)  accepted or b)  objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b)  Some * c)  None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5)  Notice of Internal Patent Application (PTO-152)	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 20_ clober_ 2001 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  30 □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/58/08)  5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Disposition of Claims								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-7,10-13,17-22,26-28 and 31 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 8.9,14-16,23-25,29 and 30 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 12 October 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	4) Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application.								
Solution (S) 1-7,10-13,17-22,26-28 and 31 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(S) 8,9,14-16,23-25,29 and 30 is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(S) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(S) filed on 12 October 2001 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(S) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(S) including the correction is required if the drawing(S) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) □ Notice of Proferences Cited (PTO-892)  □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(S) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
7) ☐ Claim(s) 8.9.14-16.23-25.29 and 30 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 12 October 2001 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 12 October 2001 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	6) Claim(s) <u>1-7,10-13,17-22,26-28 and 31</u> is/are re	Claim(s) <u>1-7,10-13,17-22,26-28 and 31</u> is/are rejected.							
Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 12 October 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	7) Claim(s) <u>8,9,14-16,23-25,29 and 30</u> is/are obje	Claim(s) <u>8,9,14-16,23-25,29 and 30</u> is/are objected to.							
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 12 October 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 12 October 2001 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ☐ .  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Application Papers								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some columns have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some col None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>12 October 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/5B/08)	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  10 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	<ul> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ul>								
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Attachment(s)								
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)								
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal F							

Application/Control Number: 09/974,907

Art Unit: 2633

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-7, 10-13, 17-22, 26-28 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lu et al. (US Published Patent Application No. 2002/0191247).

Regarding claims 1 and 18, Lu et al. disclose a system and method for adaptively controlling communications channels in a wavelength division multiplexing (WDM) optical network that performs wavelength selective switching (fig. 2 and paragraphs 0016-0019 and 0054), the system comprising: a wavelength and route manager (WRM) that determines a communications channel to be set up to satisfy a request for service between two network elements (A and B) (fig. 1, element 20 and paragraphs 0045, 0076 and 0078) using: a channel selection algorithm that uses at least one rule abstracted from a physical constraint on signal transmission through the optical network to select at least one wavelength for providing the communications channel between A and B and a constraint-based route validator for verifying that the at least one wavelength is viable (paragraphs 0056, 0057, 0075, 0076 and 0078) by calculating a link budget and determining settings for transmission equipment that supports the communications channel between A and B (paragraphs 0089-0097); and means for effecting the setup of the at least one wavelength between A and B to provide the communications

Application/Control Number: 09/974,907

Art Unit: 2633

channel if the constraint-based route validation determines that the route is valid (paragraph 0077).

Regarding claim 2, Lu et al. disclose a system as claimed in claim 1 further comprising a service manager adapted to receive the requests for service, and exchange admission control signaling messages with edge network elements (fig. 1, element 20 and paragraphs 0009 and 0045).

Regarding claim 3, Lu et al. disclose a system as claimed in claim 2 further comprising a capacity manager adapted to: receive a request for connection capacity between specified network elements and determine if there is available capacity to satisfy the request on an existing communications channel between the specified network elements (fig. 1, element 20 and paragraphs 0045 and 0075); if there is available capacity on an existing communications channel, allocate the capacity to the service request, and return a message to the service manager identifying the existing communications channel and if a communications channel with available capacity does not exist to send a message to the WRM requesting that a channel be set up to satisfy the service request (paragraphs 0076-0078).

Regarding claims 4 and 19, Lu et al. disclose a system and method as claimed in claims 1 and 18, respectively, wherein the channel selection algorithm comprises: a route selector adapted to select a route between A and B from a set of routes in accordance with at least one selection criterion (paragraphs 0056, 0057, 0075, 0076 and 0078); and a wavelength selector adapted to select the at least one wavelength for the communications channel on the selected route (fig. 2, element 440 and paragraphs 0045).

Regarding claims 5 and 20-22, Lu et al. disclose a system and method as claimed in claims 4 and 19, respectively, wherein the route selector further comprises a route evaluation algorithm adapted to: determine a value associated with at least one of a number of optical links

Art Unit: 2633

in the route (fig. 1, elements 60 and paragraphs 0045 and 0060); a sum of lengths of the optical links in the route (paragraphs 0056 and 00657); and, a sum of costs associated with each optical link in the route, for each route evaluated and use the determined value of each route to select a route with a preferred value (paragraphs 0060, 0073, 0074 and 0097).

Regarding claim 6, Lu et al. disclose a system as claimed in claim 4 wherein the wavelength selector is adapted to select the at least one wavelength subject to the following constraints: each of the at least one wavelengths is not indicated to be currently used on any section in the route (paragraphs 0075, 0076 and 0078); and if regeneration is required, a regenerator is available to regenerate the at least one wavelength in response to regeneration opportunity information (fig. 3, element 500 and paragraph 0059).

Regarding claim 7, Lu et al. disclose a system as claimed in claim 6 wherein the wavelength selector is further adapted to access a data store in order to retrieve at least one of wavelength utilization information, and regeneration opportunity information (paragraphs 0073, 0074 and 0097).

Regarding claims 10 and 26, Lu et al. disclose a system and method as claimed in claims 1 and 18, respectively, wherein the constraint-based routing validator receives an identifier of the at least one wavelength selected by the WRM, and is adapted to: parse the at least one wavelength into respective sections, obtain parameters of transmission equipment in each of the sections, calculating the link budget, and communicate the settings to the transmission equipment that supports the communications channel between A and B (fig. 1, elements 60 and paragraphs 0045, 0060, 0076, 0078, and 0089-097).

Regarding claim 11, Lu et al. disclose a system as claimed in claim 10 wherein the sections are defined by a route selected by the WRM (paragraphs 0045 and paragraphs 0073, 0074 and 0097).

Art Unit: 2633

Regarding claims 12 and 27, Lu et al. disclose a system and method as claimed in claims 10 and 26, respectively, wherein the constraint-based route validator is further adapted to interface with a photonic control plane adapted to: store values of stable properties of transmission equipment and sections in the network; and request (or query) transmission equipment status information directly from the transmission equipment (paragraphs 0060, 0073, 0074 and 0097).

Regarding claims 13 and 28, Lu et al. disclose a system and method as claimed in claims 10 and 27, respectively, wherein the constraint-based routing validator further determines equipment availability to ensure that the at least one wavelength is available, and that the transmission equipment in the route is operating within established parameters; and, evaluates signal transmission viability across each of the at least one wavelength (paragraphs 0075, 0076 and 0078) by calculating the link budget and determining settings for transmission equipment (paragraphs 0089-0097).

Regarding claim 17, Lu et al. disclose a system as claimed in claim 13 wherein the constraint-based routing validator is further adapted to return a message to the WRM indicating that the channel is viable (paragraphs 0075, 0076, and 0078).

Regarding claim 31, Lu et al. disclose a system for adaptively controlling communications channels in an agile optical network, the system comprising a wavelength and route manager (WRM) that determines a channel to be setup to satisfy a request for service between two network elements (A and B) (fig. 1, element 20 and paragraphs 0045, 0076 and 0078) using a route selection algorithm and at least one generic rule to evaluate a given set of routes between A and B, in order to identify a route (paragraphs 0056, 0057, 0075, 0076 and 0078); a route-based wavelength selector adapted to select at least one available wavelength subject to a constraint that the at least one wavelength traces the selected route (fig. 2, element

Art Unit: 2633

440 and paragraph 0045); and a constraint-based route validator that: verifies a viability of the at least one wavelength by calculating a link budget and determining settings for transmission equipment for supports the communications channel (paragraphs 0089-0097) and effects the set up of the communications channel between A and B, if the viability is verified (paragraph 0077).

### Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 8, 9, 14-16, 23-25 and 29-30 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### Response to Amendment

4. In the amendment of 3 February 2005, the applicant indicates an amendment to paragraph 0011 of the specification; however, the "amended" paragraph is unchanged from the originally filed paragraph 0011.

### Response to Arguments

- 5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 18 and 31, and depending claims, have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/974,907

Art Unit: 2633

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

final action.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to N. Curs

whose telephone number is (571) 272-3028. The examiner can normally be reached M-F (from

9 AM to 5 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Jason Chan, can be reached at (571) 272-3022. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306. Any inquiry of

a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to

the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2600.

M. R. SEDIGHIAN

Page 7

PRIMARY EXAMINE